USE OF A SOFT AUTOPOLYMERIZING ACRYLIC RESIN FOR PROSTHETIC RESTORATION OF ORBITAL FACIAL DEFECTS


ABSTRACT
A technique for the fabrication of an orbital facial prosthesis using a soft autopolymerizing acrylic resin is presented. The material used has been found to be acceptable to most patients treated. The use of this material offers easy access for wound care and is easy to removal and maintenance of hygiene.
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INTRODUCTION
The restoration of orbital defects presents a challenge in maxillo-facial prosthesis (1).

A prosthetic rehabilitation of a patient suffering from complete exenteration of the orbit and surrounding tissues will be greatly enhanced if a prosthesis is placed in it (2). An aesthetic prosthesis is very difficult to obtain and in some patients it may not be possible to duplicate the appearance and contour of the remaining normal eye and adjacent orbital structures. Structural reconstruction in conjunction with prosthesis replacement of the globe requires careful planning (3).

A technique for the fabrication of orbital facial prostheses in soft acrylic resin used in Maxillo-Facial Clinic, at Faculty of Dentistry of Ribeirao Preto - University of Sao Paulo is described.

CASE REPORT
Patient Examination
A 45-year-old well-nourished white woman was admitted at the Dental Materials and Prosthetic Department of Faculty of Dentistry of Ribeirão Preto - University of Sao Paulo (FORP-USP) for a definitive right side ocular facial prosthesis.

The patient had been admitted for a tumour removal with surgical margins extended beyond the confinement of the orbit. The socket was then examined to determine the remaining structures.

Impression Procedure
1. The patient was seated on the dental chair with the defect of the eye facing up and parallel to the floor (Figure 1). The eyebrows and eyelashes were coated with Vaseline and the head draped with cotton towels to keep the impression material from flowing where it was not desired.
2. The patient was instructed to close her remaining eye and was cautioned to be relaxed during the impression procedure.
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Figure 1. Surgical defect view.

Figure 2. The hidrocolloid irreversible impression material is carefully spread over the surface of the skin.
3. An impression of the defect and surrounding structures was made with an irreversible hydrocolloid material, mixed with twice as much water as the proportion recommended by the manufacturer. Embed cotton was used over setting hydrocolloid irreversible material to provide retention as a reinforcing matrix (Figure 2).

4. A quick setting plaster of Paris reinforcement was laid over the impression material (4) blocking out extreme undercuts (Figure 3).

5. The reinforced impression was gently removed from the patient's face, keeping in mind the location of undercuts.

6. After removal, the accuracy of the impression was evaluated and a dental stone was prepared, poured slowly in the impression. Enough stone was added to properly cover the impression. After the stone has set, the impression was gently separated from the cast, then a working cast was obtained (Figure 4).

**Sculpting**

7. At the following appointment, sculpting of the periorbital tissues was made. Lid contours and periorbital tissues was shaped as those of the normal eye as closely as possible. All details were faithfully reproduced using modelling clay around the eye and molds, shaping the wrinkles and opening according to the contour of the remaining eye (Figure 5).

8. The border of the master cast around the clay sculpture was lubricated with a thin layer of petroleum jelly, and stone was poured to form a counterdie (Figure 6). After the stone has set, the counterdie removed, and the modelling clay separated from the counterdie.

9. A hole was drilled through the deepest portion of the defect area on the cast to the exterior to facilitate the injection of fluid wax, thereby filling the portion previously occupied by the modelling clay.

10. The wax pattern was checked for fit and contour on the
The technique for restoration of orbital defect autopolymerizing acrylic resin is described. Comparing the soft autopolymerizing acrylic resin to the poly methyl methacrylate resin, largely used in maxillofacial prosthodontics, it was observed that the first one presents the following advantages:

1. The prosthesis is light and aesthetically acceptable, because may match to the skin shades.
2. The softness gives to the orbital prosthesis a natural appearance.

As disadvantages it was observed that the soft autopolymerizing acrylic resin should not be high polished, because it has pores and it is a soft material. This in fact, may be responsible for organic residue accumulation and growing of microorganism, in case that the orbital prosthesis is not properly kept cleaned.

CONCLUSIONS
The technique for restoration of orbital defect using the autopolymerizing acrylic resin is described.
The use of this acrylic resin allow patients to develop more self assurance in the later management of their surgical stomas. The use of this material offers feasible access for wound care and the prosthesis can be easily removed from the socket and cleaned hence maintaining good hygiene.
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