LIGHT CURING UNITS: TIPS FOR ORTHODONTISTS


ABSTRACT

Choosing the right light-curing unit can be a very difficult task for some orthodontists. Currently, there are various types of light curing units available in the market with various trade names and specifications. Most of the time information regarding light curing units is obtained from advertisements, websites or manufacturers’ catalogues. Sometimes such information can be misleading. This article attempts to provide several tips for orthodontists in selecting light curing units.
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INTRODUCTION

Currently, there are various types of dental light curing units available in the market. Sometimes, it is rather difficult for clinicians to choose which light-curing unit will work best to suit their needs. Most of the clinicians rely on information given by manufacturers or through advertisements. This article attempts to provide information which can be useful for the clinician in choosing the right light-curing unit. This paper discusses light curing units which are currently used in orthodontics and no attempt has been made to generalize their use in other fields of dentistry.

Before one can choose the right light-curing unit, a few questions need to be asked as follows:

- What is the use of a light-curing unit?
- How does a light curing unit influence the polymerization process?
- What are the aims in developing light-curing unit?
- What are the basic components of light curing units?
- What are the types of light-curing units that are available in the market?
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of different light curing units?
- How can the performance of the light-curing unit be measured?
- What practical tips are useful to the orthodontist?

WHAT IS THE USE OF A LIGHT-CURING UNIT?

In orthodontics, the clinician can either use the chemically or light cured composite to bond the brackets onto teeth. Most of the composite resins contain monomer, inorganic filler, inhibitors, stabilizers, pigments and initiators (1). The most commonly used initiator is camphorquinone. The light cured composite required some form of light produced by the light-curing unit to activate the polymerization process (2).

The polymerization of the light-cured composites depends completely on an adequate delivery of light energy. Usually, the light required for curing is between 360 to 500 nm with a maximum of 460 to 470 nm. For camphorquinone, light at wavelength of 470 nm is essential (3). Polymerization is initiated and sustained when the curing light intensity is sufficient to maintain camphorquinone, the light-sensitive agent in the composite in its excited state. Only when the camphorquinone is in this excited state, then, will it react with an amine-reduction agent to form free radicals, thus initiating the resin’s polymerization (1). Depending on the mass of the material, a certain power density (mW/cm²) is required to decompose the initiator (3,4).

According to the ISO specification for light curing unit, the energy output must be measured only for the spectral region of 460 to 500 nm (5,6). It must be emphasized, however, that not all resin-based composite products use camphorquinone as an initiator. Some other composite resin uses BAPO (bis acryl phosphinoxide) as an initiator. Therefore a wide spectrum of light might be a safe approach if many different products are used (3).
HOW DOES A LIGHT CURING UNIT INFLUENCE THE POLYMERIZATION PROCESS?

There are several factors related to light curing that can influence the polymerization process and the strength of the material such as (7–10):

- Intensity of the light
- Curing time
- Depth of cure

WHAT ARE THE AIMS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF A LIGHT-CURING UNIT?

The aims in the development of a light curing unit are to produce the units that are powerful, able to cure the composite with reduced curing time and able to avoid under curing (1). In the clinical situation, under curing can occur if the light (7–10):

- is not sufficiently close to the surface of the material being polymerized;
- is of insufficient intensity;
- is attenuated by passage through a bracket;
- is of the incorrect wavelength.

WHAT ARE THE BASIC COMPONENTS OF LIGHT CURING UNITS?

The basic components of light curing units are as follows (Figure 1): hand piece, hand piece push button, nose cone, light guide, eye shield, power module, power cord, main switch, indicator light, fuse, plug, bulb, filter, and fan (11,12).

Some of the light-curing units have integrated curing meter, microprocessor and battery charger (13).

WHAT ARE THE TYPES OF LIGHT-CURING UNITS THAT ARE AVAILABLE IN THE MARKET?

Ultra-Violet Light Curing unit

Ultra-Violet light curing unit was the first to be used in curing light cured composite. The technology came from other industry such as ink, paint and coating materials that used the ultraviolet in photopolymerization process (14,15). This unit utilized the polymerization process of a composite that can be accomplished by the energy derived from ultra–violet light. The wavelength is in the range of 364-367 nm (17). Ultra-violet systems enjoyed popularity for a time because of its common sets. Later, it was found that this light could cause damage to the eye. Since then the use of this unit in clinical practice has been discarded and are no more available in the market (14).

Halogen Light Curing Unit

Halogen light curing unit has been innovated to replace the ultra-violet light curing unit (14). This unit is able to produce flux in the range of 400-500 nm that is within the camphorquinone spectrum (17). Most of the units use tungsten filament halogen lamps that incorporate a blue filter. This filter is important in producing the broad range of wavelength within 400-500 nm regions. The light is directed using a wave-guide such as a fused glass bundle (18,19). It is able to produce the energy level up to 300mV (20). The amount of time required to cure the composite...
underneath metal brackets is 40 seconds per tooth (21).

**High Performance Halogen Curing Light**

High Performance Halogen light curing unit has been developed to overcome the problem of conventional halogen light that requires a longer time to cure the orthodontic composite. This unit has a special tungsten quartz halogen optibulb whose performance does not degrade with time. It also has an 8 mm light guide, which emits a full spectrum light filtered as blue with a range of 400 to 505 nm. It cures under metal brackets in eight seconds and under ceramic brackets in five seconds. This light has boost mode, which increases the light output to 1,000 mWatt/cm² in 10-second cycles with a five second beep (22–24). This will allow the composite under metal bracket to be cured in five seconds (25).

**Adaptor Light Guide**

A modification of light guide has been designed as a direct replacement for the original light guide of the halogen curing light. This guide has been designed using computer technology. It has a unique flat tip with maximum tapered optic fibers. It is able to increase the light output 2.5 times more than the original light guide used with halogen light curing unit. The surface area is about 28 mm². The light output ranges from 880 to 1120 mW/cm². The guides are currently available in various sizes and shapes (Figure 2). It can be fitted to almost all halogen light curing units. The idea of this light guide is to save the cost of buying a new expensive light-curing unit.

The same halogen light-curing unit can be used with improved curing time (26–29).

**Plasma Arc Light Curing Unit**

This unit has been developed after the technology used by The United States National Aeronautics and Space Association (NASA) in aeronautical engineering. The plasma arc light system has filters that are able to narrow the spectrum of visible light to a band centred at 470 nm. This wavelength could be used for activation of the camphorquinone (30). It has two electrodes with a large voltage potential that are able to ionize xenon plasma gas to emit the light. These lights have an energy level of 900 mV, which is much higher than halogen lights. This allows curing times to be as short as possible. This unit will take only two seconds to cure the composite underneath the metal bracket (31).

**Blue Light Emitting Diode (Blue LED) Curing Unit**

The breakthrough in semiconductor technology has led to the use of LED in curing light cured composites (32,33). LED is a solid-state light source. It is manufactured by layering the metal organic chemical vapour deposition of different semiconductor materials on top of another in special films (33).

This unit uses indium gallium nitrate technology. It can generate photons of a particular wavelength by varying the band gap. A wide band gap material produces high-energy photons near the blue region of the visible spectrum (34). As current flows through the semiconductor chips, electrical energy is
converted directly into light. Little energy is emitted as heat. The result is a stable, efficient and long lasting output of blue light. The spectrum of light produced is in the range of 430 to 490 nm (35,36). The narrow spectral mission of the LED encompasses the spectral absorption of camphorquinone at 470 nm (35). This unit can generate a curing light of density of 400 to 2000 mW/cm² depending on the types and products (11–13,35,36). It is able to cure the orthodontic composite in between 10 to 40 seconds.

**Argon Laser Curing Unit**

Argon laser curing unit has utilized the laser technology which provides sources that emit high intensity light within the energy band required by the initiator in light cured composites (18). Laser light has been described as consisting of a single, narrow band of waves traveling in parallel and in phase spatially and temporally (37,38,40). The argon laser is monochromatic and emits light over a narrow band of wavelengths in the blue-green spectrum. It operates within a combined bandwidth that encompasses 42 nm (between 454nm and 496nm) of the visible light spectrum. It provides high output energy at 488 nm for the rapid polymerization of dental composites (18,39), The intensity of light produced by this unit approaches 800mW/cm². Argon laser's waves are coherent; the photons are in phase with one another and do not collide as they do in halogen light. The time required to cure the orthodontic composite is five seconds (18,37–40).

Basic specifications of light-curing units which are available in the market are summarized in Table 1.

**WHAT ARE THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF DIFFERENT LIGHT CURING UNITS?**

**Ultra Violet Light Curing Unit**

The use of this light-curing unit has been abandoned. It was time consuming, as a 90 seconds application must be given to each bracket. In addition, ultra-violet is poorly transmitted by tooth substance, plastic or metal brackets (16). Also, there has been some concern about the possible harmful effects of prolonged exposure to ultra-violet radiation (41). It has the potential to cause retinal damage and the possibility of selectively altering the oral microflora through exposure of ionizing radiation (14,41).

**Halogen Light Curing Unit**

Halogen light curing unit uses most of its energy to heat a tungsten filament until it glows which then creates the light. Only one percent of the total energy output is converted into light; the remainder is generated as heat (42). Therefore one of the disadvantages is heat production that can cause blistering of expensive light filters and discoloration of the reflectors. This will lead to a decrease in blue flux and a reduction in curing effectiveness (42). A great deal of heat produced by halogen curing lamps requires intensive fan cooling, which in turn may disperse any bacterial aerosol present in the patient’s mouth (43). The cooling fan can be noisy and bulky (33). It was found that halogen bulbs last only up to 50 hours and should be replaced every six months (44,45).

To overcome some of the above problems the unit has been designed to have continuous operation and programmed cycles. One program is called a stepping function, which cycles the light on and off to reduce possible overheating of the tooth (17).

**High Performance Halogen Light Curing Unit**

The light produced by this unit is intense and the tip of the guide may occasionally cause some discomfort to the skin or mucosa (46). The advantage of this unit is that it can cure the composite with reduced curing time (25).

**Adaptor Light Guide**

The disadvantage of this adaptor is that its usage relies heavily on the halogen-curing unit. Therefore, whatever problems encounter by the halogen-curing unit may have an effect on its performance (47).

Among the advantages are that it can be sterilized either chemically or in an autoclave, it can cure the composite with reduced time and it is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of light curing unit</th>
<th>Time required to cure a metal bracket</th>
<th>Light output</th>
<th>Spectrum of light</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halogen Light</td>
<td>40 seconds</td>
<td>300 mV</td>
<td>400–500 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High performance Halogen Light</td>
<td>8 seconds</td>
<td>1000 mV</td>
<td>400–505 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptor light guide with halogen light</td>
<td>10 seconds</td>
<td>880–1120 mV</td>
<td>400–500 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma arc light</td>
<td>2 seconds</td>
<td>900 mV</td>
<td>430–490 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue LED</td>
<td>10–40 seconds</td>
<td>400–2000 mV</td>
<td>430–490 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon Laser</td>
<td>5 seconds</td>
<td>800 mV</td>
<td>454–496 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plasma Arc Light Curing Unit
These lights have an energy level of 900mV, which is much higher than halogen lights (20). The plasma bulb generates considerable heat and therefore requires a large fan to cool it off during and after each burst of light (25).

Although the light is more intense but the spectrum of the light is rather narrow. Therefore, it is important to match the spectrum of a plasma arc light to the product being used otherwise, the materials may be left undercured (26).

One of the concerns that surround the plasma arc bulb is the potential increase in pulpal temperature by as much as 6 °C when tested on a molar tooth (48). It has been suggested that a 5 °C to 6 °C increase in pulpal temperature could result in irreversible pulp damage (46,47). The most significant advantage is that it can cure the composite in two seconds (51).

Blue Light Emitting Diode (LED) Curing Unit
Blue Light Emitting Diodes (LED) curing unit has an advantage over halogen light curing unit in that it is inexpensive. It offers a very long-lasting and relatively stable output of visible blue flux. The LED unit has no bulb or filter that requires maintenance (33). Therefore it can avoid any attenuation of power output due to degradation. It is an efficient converter of electrical power into visible blue flux. It does not generate the large quantities of heat as in the halogen light-curing unit. It has a potential of a lifetime over 10,000 hours and can be subjected to mechanical shocks and vibration (33). Another advantage of LED technology is the cordless operation (Figure 3). It consumes little power in operating (33,52). Some of the units have an integrated microprocessor to control the light intensity. This ensures the light intensity remains constant at all times, irrespective of whether the battery is freshly charged or already running down. These units also have standard and exponential mode. Exponential mode provides constant full light intensity throughout the curing and the exponential mode on the other hand increases the light intensity exponentially (1,12,13). The exponential mode will allow ‘soft start polymerization’ that has been demonstrated to be advantageous with regard to stress development and marginal adaptation (22).

Most of these units do not have integrated cooling fans. However, a number of newer LED curing units have been improved to increase the light intensity. As a consequence, they produce large quantities of heat. Therefore, they require built-in cooling fans. This can then be a disadvantage as the cooling fan can be noisy and bulky (33).

Argon Laser Curing Unit
One of the advantages of this unit is the ability to achieve a thorough cure with reduced curing time; as a result, the physical properties of the composites are enhanced. These could be due to the laser’s specific and consistent wavelengths (53–56). The light is emitted without any wasted or unusable emissions (37). The total curing time is 75 percent shorter than those with halogen light.

In terms of adverse effects, it has been stated that no apparent pulp or enamel damage is expected at the energy level used for curing (57).

HOW CAN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE LIGHT-CURING UNIT BE MEASURED?
The light produced by the light-curing unit can be measured either directly or indirectly. It can be measured directly using curing radiometer and
indirectly, in terms of the bond strength of the materials cured by each unit in clinical trials or laboratory studies. The light-curing unit should be able to cure the composite to the optimum bond strength. In the vast majority of published papers, bond strength is defined as the debonding force divided by the area of the bonded interface and it has been reported in numerous units: MPa, Kg/cm², Mn/mm² and lb/in² or Psi (77). The required bond strength required for orthodontic bonding is not clearly defined. Bond strength of 60-80 kg/cm² (6-8 MPa) has been suggested as optimum by Reynolds in 1975 (58).

Various studies have been carried out to investigate the effect of different light curing units on the bond strength:

**Halogen Light Curing unit**

Halogen curing light unit has been used as a control or standard since it is proven that it can produce a clinically acceptable bond strength. It is widely accepted as a safe mode of curing composites (59).

**High Performance Halogen Light Curing Unit**

Usuzmez et al. (2004) found that high performance halogen light polymerize composite resin in much shorter times than do the halogen light without a significant loss in strength and hardness (60).

**Adaptor Light Guide**

Frost et al. (1997) concluded that with the larger size of the light guide a significantly shorter total bonding time for each patient was required. They found that the elliptical light guide in combination with standard halogen light unit of sufficient quality gave bonding results similar to the halogen light curing unit. However, this light guide offered the clinician with a reduced chair side time (29).

Radzi et al. (2002) found that brackets bonded with ‘Power slot’, a special light curing guide, produced equivalent bond strength to a halogen light curing unit and it offers potential for significant time saving due to faster curing time (26). This is in agreement with the study carried out by Evan et al. in 2002 (61).

**Plasma Arc Light**

Ishikawa et al. (2001) recommended the use of plasma arc light as it has significantly reduced the curing time without affecting the shear bond strength (62). Several investigators were in agreement with Ishikawa et al. (2001). They found no statistically significant difference between the bond strengths of the composite cured with plasma light and halogen light (63–68). However most of these studies are laboratory based.

A clinical study conducted by Sfondrini et al. (2004) also revealed that plasma arc lights can be considered as an advantageous alternative to halogen light curing, because it enables the clinician to reduce the curing time of composite without affecting the bond strength (69).

**Blue Light Emitting Diode (LED) Curing Unit**

Dunn and Taloumis (2002) suggested that additional clinical studies should be performed before routine use of the commercial LED light curing unit can be recommended for orthodontic bonding (70). One of the most interesting finding by Radzi et al. (2002) was that even though the mean bond strength produced by the first generation of Blue LED curing unit was below the minimum values, it however had the highest Weibull Modulus. It has been suggested that even though the strength is inadequate, by far, it is the most reliable. In the future the development of Blue LED will lead to a more powerful and reliable unit (26).

A recent study by Swanson et al (2004) on shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets bonded with light emitting diode-curing unit at various polymerization times found that all experimental groups recorded mean shear bond strength greater than 8 MPa, even with a 10 seconds cure (33). It is therefore of importance as Blue LED light has gone through tremendous development since it was first introduced.

**Argon Laser Curing Unit**

An in vitro study of the effect of argon laser irradiation on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets, found that the argon laser could be used to irradiate brackets, achieving bond strength similar to those attained with a halogen light cure. Furthermore argon laser irradiation of brackets previously cured with a halogen light further increased bracket bond strength (71).

Lalani et al. (2000), in their study on the curing time and shear bond strength of polymerization with an argon laser, found that at 300mW of power the argon laser required 87.5 percent less time than a halogen light curing unit to obtain a similar bond strength (72).

Weinberger et al. (1997) and Husson (2000) are in agreement with the above studies. They found no significant difference between the bond strengths produced by argon laser and the halogen light (73,74).

**WHAT PRACTICAL TIPS ARE USEFUL TO THE ORTHODONTIST?**

Success in using light-cured composites relies heavily on proper curing time and intensity. Many factors affect the performance of curing light sources. Poor quality of the light-curing unit may lead to inadequate setting of the composite resin. Hence, the clinician should choose a light source with adequate...
curing power and test its output periodically to ensure that it has maintained proper intensity (75).

In general, most commercially available light curing units will polymerize most light-cured composites to a depth of 3 mm, though some systems are more efficient than others. Those units are halogen, high performance halogen, Argon laser and Plasma arc light curing unit. Only the newer generation of Blue LED light curing units are able to perform to the required standard.

It is important for the clinician to know the type of composite resin and initiator used. It is crucial because the range of the light spectrum required by the initiator should lie between the ranges of the light produced by the light-curing unit. Otherwise it may leave the composite partially cured or under cured.

It is also important for the clinician to know the balance between reduced curing time and the adverse effect produced by the light-curing unit such as heat, shrinkage and microleakage. Microleakage around the bracket may cause enamel decalcification (67,80). Reduced curing time from 40 seconds to five seconds will reduce the total amount of curing time to 800 percent. It simply means that the busy orthodontists are able to see more patients in one day. However, any adverse effects such as decalcification due to orthodontist’s negligence may lead to litigation.

It will be useful to compare the price of each light-curing unit. The price may vary from one trade name to another trade name even though the specifications are similar. Adaptor light guide can be an economical choice for the clinician who already owns a halogen light curing unit.

It is also important to ensure that the local supplier can provide the after sales maintenance. The unit such as the halogen light requires routine maintenance. Otherwise, the light output that it produces may not meets the required standard. Barghi et al (1994) evaluated the intensity output of 209 light curing units in 122 dental practices. They found that 45 percent had output below 300mW/cm² and 65 percent had output less than 200mW/cm²(77). This value is far below the output recommended by the ISO and most of the resin composite manufacturers (77,78). The unit that has ‘soft start mode’ can be of advantage as this method does reduce the conversion rate and polymerization stress as well as enhance the potential for maintaining marginal integrity (22).

It is better to buy the unit that has least need for maintenance as compared to the one with higher maintenance. This must be coupled with good quality and the ability to produce optimum light intensity. The blue LED unit has the least maintenance while the halogen light curing unit requires heavy maintenance.

It will be useful to have a unit that has an integrated curing meter. This will allow the clinician to check the curing light emitting power every time it is used.

DISCUSSION

It has been shown that almost all light curing units are able to produce the bond strength above the minimum requirement of 6-8 MPa as suggested by Reynolds. However, the data must be interpreted with caution because most of the studies were conducted in the laboratories and would have shortcomings in the clinical setting (79). Also, most of the studies were conducted in a non-standardized manner; therefore direct comparison from one study to another study is difficult (80–85). Some studies did not control the distance between the light curing tip and the composite. In the future, bond strength studies should be directed towards clinical trials with special emphasis on survival rates rather than purely laboratory studies which do not simulate the clinical condition. A systematic review or meta analysis of prospective randomized controlled clinical trials will provide better evidence on the efficacy of these light curing units. Meta analysis of the currently available literature can be criticized, as it has various qualities and pooling together of the heterogeneous studies (86).

One needs to bear in mind that most research has been carried out using newly bought light-curing units. In clinical practice, many factors may affect the performance of light curing units. Long-term use of the light-curing unit will affect the condition of the bulb, reflector, filter and light guide. These are the factors, which can be checked visually (87–89). Performance of the power supply also affects light output but is not easily evaluated. Other factors, such as the distance, orientation of light source, reflector’s backing, mould size and optical configuration, may also affect polymerization and depth of cure (87–93).

In summary, a curing light with an intensity of 300 mW/cm² will effectively cure most composite shades within the manufacturers’ recommended times (87). The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) also recommended the intensity of 300 mw/cm to be used in clinical practice (5). Some of the information on various types of light curing units had been summarized in Table 1.

Another mode of curing that has been introduced in other fields of dentistry, but has not been utilized in orthodontics, is microwave curing. It has been suggested by Yunus et al. (1994) that denture based products can be polymerized with conventional microwave oven as a source of curing energy (94). This type of energy could be useful in curing the bonded brackets using ‘Indirect Technique’. This technique is usually carried out in the laboratory.

CONCLUSION

The clinical goals of curing are short irradiation time in combination with high and uniform conversion
throughout the whole composite resin and low shrinkage. This can be achieved using a light curing unit that can produce optimum bond strength with reduced curing time, less side effects and hazards to both operator and patient. Cheap, portable, high quality and durability are the ideal criteria of a light-curing unit. Therefore, it is essential for clinicians to have some prior knowledge of light-curing units before committed to purchasing one.
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